

From: Sgraves@TechLawInc.com
Sent: 4/30/2012 3:56:00 PM
To: "Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Fetzer.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
CC: "Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov>; "Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US" <Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Got it.
Thanks,

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.

From: Richard Fetzer [mailto:Fetzer.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2012 3:06 PM
To: Graves, Suddha
Cc: Kelley Chase; Richard Rupert
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

The RO has a separate faucet. The sink has a regular faucet that comes from the outdoor treatment system (prior to the RO system). I want the other tap sample taken either post outdoor treatment or inside at the regular faucet (non RO).

OK?

Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Cc: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/30/2012 03:02 PM
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Okay, I understand what you mean for the RO (metals only) and for the wellhead (round 2 analyte list), but am a little uncertain on the tap sample. Can you please explain.

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.

From: Richard Fetzer [mailto:Fetzer.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2012 2:25 PM
To: Richard Rupert
Cc: Graves, Suddha; Kelley Chase
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

so its metals from post RO unit. Then the other "tap" sampling would be done post outside treatment system. Then the wellhead samples would be for the full round 2 analyte list

OK?

Richard M. Fetzer

Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
To: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
Cc: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/30/2012 02:04 PM
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

You won't be collecting rad from the RO nor would dissolved gas be necessary. Bacteria is a toss up for me. I could see how it might be useful, but I am thinking it is not necessary...

Richard Rupert,
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514-8773 - mobile
rupert.richard@epa.gov

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise."
Colin Powell

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 04/30/2012 01:59 PM
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Full metals analysis would require (one 500 ml bottle for total metals, and one 500 ml bottle for dissolved metals). Total 1 Liter. If we want to collect just metals analysis from the RO system, that is doable. I was under the impression that you had wanted a full suite of analysis (metals, anions, dissolved gas, Rad, bacteria, etc...) from the RO system, not just the metals. So, we will collect a total and dissolved metals analysis from each RO system we encounter, correct? This will be in addition to the full suite of analysis we collect from the wellhead and the kitchen tap, correct?

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.

From: Richard Fetzer [<mailto:Fetzer.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2012 1:30 PM
To: Graves, Suddha
Cc: Kelley Chase; Richard Rupert
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

How many jars do you need for full metals analysis? I don't care about splits....they can just to the wellhead, if they want. I want a full metal analysis of the water they are drinking.
Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator

100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
To: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 04/30/2012 01:09 PM
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Hi Kelley,

This past Friday I know we had discussed sampling reverse osmosis (RO) systems that we encounter during round 2 sampling. I looked into the previous RO sample that was collected in the first round. It was HW39-RO collected on 2/3/12 and was only analyzed for metals analysis. The logbook noted that the RO system was to remove barium and that it only produces 1 gallon per hour. Due to the low water production, no purging could be accomplished from the RO system and filling all the appropriate sample jars for a full sample would be very time consuming (especially if splits are needed). At that particular residence (HW39) a wellhead sample and a kitchen faucet sample was collected for the full suite on analysis. I want to check with you to verify that you want a complete sample collected from each RO system we encounter.

Thanks,

Suddha Graves

TechLaw, Inc.

From: Kelley Chase [<mailto:Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov>]

Sent: Friday, April 27, 2012 12:02 PM

To: Graves, Suddha

Cc: Richard Fetzer; Richard Rupert

Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Suddha -

As discussed - additional info for the re-sampling of the 15 locations.

- Kelley